

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Hallstatt-Dachstein / Salzkammergut Cultural Landscape

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

- Austria

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

806

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1997

1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (longitude / latitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Hallstatt-Dachstein / Salzkammergut Cultural Landscape	47.559 / 13.646	28446.2	20013.9	48460.1	1997
Total (ha)		28446.2	20013.9	48460.1	

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Map "UNESCO World Heritage Cultural Landscape Hallstatt-Dachstein/Salzkammergut", scale 1:50000	31/01/2008	

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

- Bruno Maldoner
Federal Ministry for Education, Arts and Culture

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Klaus Scherhauer
Amt der OÖ Landesregierung, Abt. Raumordnung
Director, DI

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

- [View photos from OUR PLACE the World Heritage collection](#)
- [Willkommen in Hallstatt \(Interaktive Hotels Austria\)](#)
- [Salzkammergut OnLine \(only in German\)](#)
- [Salzkammergut OnLine \(german only\)](#)
- [Salzkammergut-Touristik \(only in German\)](#)
- [Willkommen in Hallstatt im Salzkammergut \(Hallstatt-online\)](#)
- [Hallstatt Home Page \(Tourismusverband Hallstatt\)](#)
- [Willkommen in Hallstatt \(only in German\)](#)

Comment

the above mentioned weblinks are outdated and will be replaced by the following URLs: www.welterberegion.at
www.dachstein-salzkammergut.com www.hallstatt.net

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

Comment

The majority of the World Heritage property (14.630 ha) has been classified as European Nature Reserve (bird sanctuary, habitat directive area)

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Brief synthesis

The Hallstatt-Dachstein alpine landscape, part of the Salzkammergut, and thus of the Eastern Alps, is one of visual drama with huge mountains rising abruptly from narrow valleys. Its prosperity since mediaeval times has been based on salt mining, focused on the town of Hallstatt, a name meaning salt settlement that testifies to its primary function. Systematic salt production was being carried out in the region as early as the Middle Bronze Age, (the late 2nd millennium BC), when natural brine was captured in vessels and evaporated. Underground mining for salt began at the end of the late Bronze Age and resumed in the 8th century BC when archaeological evidence shows a flourishing, stratified and highly organised Iron Age society with wide trade links across Europe and now known as the Hallstatt Culture. Salt mining continued in Roman times and was then revived in the 14th century. The large amounts of timber needed for the mines and for evaporating the salt were extracted from the extensive upland forests, which since the 16th century were controlled and managed directly by the Austrian Crown. The Town of Hallstatt was re-built in late Baroque style after a fire in 1750 destroyed the timber buildings.

The beauty of the alpine landscape, with its higher pastures used for the summer grazing of sheep and cattle since prehistoric times as part of the process of transhumance, which still today gives the valley communities rights of access to specific grazing areas, was 'discovered' in the early 19th century by writers, such as Adalbert Stifter, novelist, and the dramatic poet Franz Grillparzer, and most of the leading paintings of the Biedermeier school. They were in turn followed by tourists and this led to the development of hotels and brine baths for visitors.

The landscape is exceptional as a complex of great scientific interest and immense natural power that has played a vital role in human history reflected in the impact of farmer-miners over millennia, in the way mining has transformed the interior of the mountain and through the artists and writers that conveyed its harmony and beauty.

Criterion (iii): Humankind has inhabited the valleys between huge mountains for over three millennia. It is the mining and processing of salt, a natural resource essential to human and animal life, which has given this area its prosperity and individuality as a result of a profound association between intensive human activity in the midst of a largely untamed landscape.

Criterion (iv): The Hallstatt-Dachstein/Salzkammergut alpine region is an outstanding example of a natural landscape of great beauty and scientific interest which also contains evidence of fundamental human economic activity. The cultural landscape of the region boasts a continuing evolution covering 2500 years. Its history from the very beginning is linked primarily with the economic history of salt extraction. Salt mining has always determined all aspects of life as well

as the architectural and artistic material evidence. Salt production on a major scale can be traced back in Hallstatt to the Middle Bronze Age.

Integrity (2010)

The property appropriately retains all the elements linked to evidence of salt mining and processing, associated timber production, transhumance and dairy farming, and still retains the harmony that attracted the 19th century artists and writers. It has not, and does not, suffer from the adverse effects of modern development.

Authenticity (2010)

Because of its special historical evolution, this cultural landscape has retained a degree of authenticity in nature and society that is outstanding in the alpine region. Resulting from a harmonious interaction between man and environment it has preserved its spatial and material structure to an exceptionally high degree. This quality and context has been further endorsed by a large number of visiting artists whose many canvases and representations are additional fitting testimony to its value.

Protection and management requirements (2010)

Due to different needs, both Federal and Provincial levels of protection are in force. Combined, these cover monuments and ensembles, newly erected buildings, woods, water and ground water, and general aspects of nature, including specific items, larger areas, caves and cultivated areas. There are also provisions regarding regional planning.

In recent times there has been an increasing collective awareness concerning the heritage value of the urban fabric. The Communes and the owners carry out day-to-day management. This approach is based on direction provided by experts of the Provinces and the Federal Office for Protection of Monuments. Funds are made available from the Federal State of Austria, the Federal Provinces Salzburg and Styria and, especially, from the Province of Upper Austria.

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(iii)(iv)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

n/a

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

n/a

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

n/a

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

n/a

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name					Impact			Origin	
3.1	Buildings and Development									
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure									
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities									
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure									
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure									
3.13	Management and institutional factors									
3.13.3	Management activities									
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside				

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

No factor is both current and negative.

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **known** by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

n/a

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

The application of general laws and existing administrative arrangements guarantees the protection of the World Heritage Site sufficiently:

"Denkmalschutzgesetz" (Austrian Monuments Protection Act) for listing of monuments, ensembles and archaeological excavations

"Forstgesetz" (Forestry Act) with instruments of forestry regional planning, regionally implemented as Forest Development Plan for Gmunden, and Protection Forest Plan for Upper Austria

"Bundesforstgesetz" (Federally Owned Forests Act)

"Wasserrechtsgesetz" (Water Management Act) implemented as Groundwater Protection Area Sarstein, Sandling and Loser

1974, and Groundwater Protection Area Bad Goisern and Gosau 1990

"Oberösterreichsches Naturschutzgesetz" (Upper Austrian Nature Protection Act) provides general protection of lake shores 500m, and along rivers and brooks, 50m. Within the World Heritage Area detailed regulations have been enacted for "Naturschutzgebiet Dachstein 2001" (Nature Protection Area Großes Löckenmoos Nature Protection Area Dachstein, 12.627 hectares, nominated for European Protection Area), "Naturschutzgebiet Großes Löckenmoos 1998" (Nature Protection Area Großes Löckenmoos 26,6 hectares), "Naturschutzgebiet Kleines Löckenmoos 1998" (Nature Protection Area Kleines Löckenmoos 10 hectares), 23 "Naturdenkmale" (23 Monuments of Nature, among them 14 caves, 2 giant karst springs, 1 surface karst phenomenon, 6 trees)

"Oberösterreichsches Jagdgesetz" (Upper Austrian Hunting Act)

"Oberösterreichsches Fischereigesetz" (Upper Austrian Fishing Act)

"Oberösterreichsches Alm- und Kulturländchengesetz" (Upper Austrian Alpine Pasture and Cultivated Areas Act)

"Oberösterreichsches Raumordnungsgesetz" (Upper Austrian Regional Planning Act) with its relevant planning instruments "Ortliches Raumordnungskonzept" (local development plan) implemented for Hallstatt, Obertraun and Bad Goisern (in preparation for Gosau), "Flächenwidmungsplan" (land use plan) implemented in all 4 said communities, detailed "Bebauungspläne" (zoning plans) partially implemented in all 4 said communities, "Ortsbildsatzung" (townscape regulation) planned for Hallstatt.

"Oberösterreichische Bauordnung" (Upper Austrian Building Regulations) implemented on a local level for townscape protection

"Regionale Entwicklungsleitbild für den Bezirk Gmunden" (Regional Development Guidance) for the district of Gmunden 2003

In addition there is an arrangement planned between the Federal Ministry of Education, Science and Culture and the Province of Upper Austria in order to give joint financial support for certain conservation works within the World Heritage Area.

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Tuesday, November 1, 2005

• Question 6.02

However, the application of general laws and existing administrative arrangements guarantees the protection of the World Heritage Site sufficiently

"Denkmalschutzgesetz" (Austrian Monuments Protection Act) for listing of monuments, ensembles and archaeological excavations

"Forstgesetz" (Forestry Act) with instruments of forestry regional planning, regionally implemented as Forest Development Plan for Gmunden, and Protection Forest Plan for Upper Austria

"Bundesforstgesetz" (Federally Owned Forests Act)

"Wasserrechtsgesetz" (Water Management Act)

implemented as Groundwater Protection Area Sarstein, Sandling and Loser 1974, and Groundwater Protection Area Bad Goisern and Gosau 1990

"Oberösterreichsches Naturschutzgesetz" (Upper Austrian Nature Protection Act) provides general protection of lake shores 500m, and along rivers and brooks, 50m.

Within the World Heritage Area detailed regulations have been enacted for "Naturschutzgebiet Dachstein 2001" (Nature Protection Area Großes Löckenmoos

Nature Protection Area Dachstein, 12.627 hectares, nominated for European Protection Area),
"Naturschutzgebiet Großes Lössenmoos 1998" (Nature Protection Area Großes Lössenmoos 26,6 hectares),
"Naturschutzgebiet Kleines Lössenmoos 1998" (Nature Protection Area Kleines Lössenmoos 10 hectares), 23
"Naturdenkmale" (23 Monuments of Nature, among them 14 caves, 2 giant karst springs, 1 surface karst phenomenon, 6 trees)
"Oberösterreichisches Jagdgesetz" (Upper Austrian Hunting Act)
"Oberösterreichisches Fischereigesetz" (Upper Austrian Fishing Act)
"Oberösterreichisches Alm- und Kulturländengesetz" (Upper Austrian Alpine Pasture and Cultivated Areas Act)
"Oberösterreichisches Raumordnungsgesetz" (Upper Austrian Regional Planning Act) with its relevant planning instruments "Ortliches Raumordnungskonzept" (local development plan) implemented for Hallstatt, Obertraun and Bad Goisern (in preparation for Gosau),
"Flächenwidmungsplan" (land use plan) implemented in all 4 said communities, detailed "Bebauungspläne" (zoning plans) partially implemented in all 4 said communities, "Ortsbildsatzung" (townscape regulation) planned for Hallstatt.
"Oberösterreichische Bauordnung" (Upper Austrian Building Regulations) implemented on a local level for townscape protection
"Regionale Entwicklungsleitbild für den Bezirk Gmunden" (Regional Development Guidance) for the district of Gmunden 2003
In addition there is an arrangement planned between the Federal Ministry of Education, Science and Culture and the Province of Upper Austria in order to give joint financial support for certain conservation works within the World Heritage Area.

Comment

Decree for environmental review of zoning plans (strategic environmental testing) 2005

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the

Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **excellent** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

n/a

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

There is a steering group to guide the management of the site. The "Round Table" is both an advisory and steering body for the main issues of UNESCO-World Heritage. Its mandate is the preparation of decisions for politicians on local and regional level, especially for implementation and financing of projects.

WHC Note (July 2012):

The Management Plan available at the World Heritage Centre is dating from 2001 and is in hard copy. If the above mentioned Management Plan is currently in force, please provide its electronic version to the WHC. If a more recent Management Plan is in force, please submit an electronic version to WHC. In either of the above cases, the submission (CD-Rom or web-link) should be accompanied by a cover letter to DIR/WHC.

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Tuesday, November 1, 2005

- **Question 5.02**
Steering group or similar management committee has been set up to guide the management of the site

• Question 5.03

Set up date: 2003

Function: The "Round Table" is both an advisory and steering body for the main issues of UNESCO-World Heritage.

Mandate: preparation of decisions for politicians on local and regional level, especially for implementation and financing of projects

Constituted: formal

• Question 5.05

Overall management system of the site

- o Management under traditional protective measures or customary law
- o Consensual management

Comment

addendum: legally-binding infrastructure plans for the whole area, plans on yearly basis for implementation of projects dedicated for the protection and development of the World Heritage property.

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
Materials on the management mechanisms of the Cultural Landscape of Hallstatt-Dachstein	N/A	Available	01/01/2001	
Struktur eines Pflegewerkes für die Historische Kulturlandschaft Hallstatt-Dachstein/Salzkammergut	N/A	Available	01/01/1998	

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is **excellent coordination** between all bodies / levels involved in the management of the property

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being **fully** implemented and monitored

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **most or all activities** are being implemented and monitored

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Good
Visitors	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Industry	Not applicable

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities **directly contribute** to some decisions relating to management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area

surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is contact but only **some cooperation** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

n/a

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

n/a

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	
Governmental (National / Federal)	5%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	80%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	15%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	
Other grants	

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Comment

No application for International Assistance from World Heritage Fund was submitted

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **sufficient** but further funding would enable more effective management to international best practice standard

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **adequate** equipment and facilities

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

Equipment and facilities are **well maintained**

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

n/a

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	90%
Part-time	10%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	100%
Seasonal	0%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	90%
Volunteer	10%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Good
Promotion	Good
Community outreach	Good
Interpretation	Fair
Education	Good
Visitor management	Good
Conservation	Good
Administration	Good
Risk preparedness	Good
Tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Not applicable

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	High
Promotion	High
Community outreach	High
Interpretation	Medium
Education	Medium
Visitor management	High
Conservation	High
Administration	High

Risk preparedness	High
Tourism	High
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Not applicable

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

No capacity development plan or programme is in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

As they are not necessary, neither a capacity development plan nor a -programme exists. Mainly local staff at the World Heritage property is dealing with the administration of the site. The staff has adequate resources, experience and knowledge.

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is **considerable** research but it is **not directed** towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared with local participants and some national agencies**

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

- Welterbe für junge Menschen Österreich 2007 bm:ukk, Österreichische UNESCO-Kommission - Kleinregionales Entwicklungskonzept Region Hallstatt-Dachstein 2012 Technische Universität Wien, DI Dr. Peter Kurz www.landscape.tuwien.ac.at - Welterbe der UNESCO Hallstatt-Dachstein-Salzkammergut Jarosch, Lipp, Lammerhuber-ICOMOS Österreich

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

n/a

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Average
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Excellent
Local businesses and industries	Average

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only **partly meets the needs** and could be improved

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

There is **excellent presentation and interpretation** of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Adequate
Site museum	Excellent
Information booths	Not needed
Guided tours	Excellent
Trails / routes	Excellent
Information materials	Excellent
Transportation facilities	Excellent
Other	Not needed

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

n/a

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Minor Increase

Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Minor Increase

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries
Accommodation establishments
Transportation services
Tourism industry
Visitor surveys

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **effectively managed** and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **excellent co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected, and makes **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

n/a

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable monitoring but it is **not directed towards management needs** and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient to define key indicators, **but this has not been done**

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Excellent

Local communities	Poor
Researchers	Average
NGOs	Poor
Industry	Non-existent
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

n/a

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

n/a

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

No factor is both current and negative.

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.4 Financial and Human Resources					
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
4.4.15	No capacity development plan or programme is in place	Es ist vorläufig nicht beabsichtigt einen derartigen Kapazitätsaufbauplan zu erstellen, weil die locale expertise in der Region als ausreichend entwickelt beurteilt werden kann. Die Frage der Verwaltung durch externes Personal hat keine Priorität.	nicht zutreffend	nicht zutreffend	nicht zutreffend
4.8 Monitoring					
4.8.2	Key indicators have not been defined	Due to the complex structure of a cultural landscape, key indicators are not suitable to deliver information on the SOC which can be practically used.	n/a	n/a	n/a

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	No impact
Infrastructure development	Very positive
Funding for the property	Very positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	Positive
Institutional coordination	Very positive
Security	Not applicable
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
External experts

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Fair
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Very poor

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most of the required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Management effectiveness

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	None
State Party	None
Site Managers	Not Applicable
Advisory Bodies	None

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

Automatically generated in online version

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise